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#### Abstract

Nguyen, Thao N., Investigation of Diazaborole Formation and Diazaborole-Linked Macrocycles with EthylHexyl Ester Substituents. Master of Science (Chemistry), August, 2018, Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, Texas.

Boron-containing polymers and macrocycles are currently an exciting field of study due to their wide variety of potential applications. The exploration of the dynamic covalent behavior of 2-phenyl-1,3,2-benzodiazaborole (DAB) under different solvent and temperature conditions may provide insight into the synthesis of larger diazaborole-based frameworks. The effects of solvent and temperature on the condensation of DAB were explored. The results showed that the DAB product formed faster in DMSO than in toluene. However, DAB formation showed higher percent conversion in toluene. In addition, Raman spectroscopy was used for in situ reaction monitoring of $\mathbf{D A B}$ formation. By using a calibration curve, we found that the formation of DAB in chloroform at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ can achieve up to $68 \%$ conversion. However, the use of Raman spectroscopy for reaction monitoring of DAB formation was limited by solubility and by the baseline noise.

Furthermore, our research group is investigating the use of diazaboroles as the active linking unit in shape-persistent macrocycles. The present study describes the continuing efforts towards improving the solubility of monomers, oligomeric intermediates, and macrocyclic products. Ethylhexyl ester functional groups were installed on the monomer to improve solubility. The results illustrated that although the presence of branched 2-ethylhexyl ester had a positive effect on solubility of the monomer, the side chain interactions were not strong enough to overcome the pi-stacking forces of the macrocycles. The poor solubility of the co-reactant benzene-1,4-diboronic acid (BDBA) is a limiting factor for the formation of the macrocycle product. To overcome this issue,


we investigated the use of BDBA-based esters instead of BDBA. The results revealed that the reactions between BDBA-based esters with various di- and tetraamines in chloroform at room temperature were slow and intramolecular reactions to form the macrocycle could not compete with the stability of the BDBA-based esters.

KEY WORDS: Diazaborole, Dimethyl sulfoxide, Toluene, Temperature, Raman spectroscopy, Macrocycle, $\mathrm{DC}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{C}$.
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## CHAPTER I

## Introduction

### 1.1 Shape persistent arylene ethynylene macrocycles

Shape persistent arylene ethynylene macrocycles (SPAEMs) have attracted noticeable research attention in supramolecular chemistry and materials science because they possess several advantages compared to their polymeric counterparts. ${ }^{1}$ SPAEMs are typically composed of aromatic building blocks, which are linked by alkene or alkyne bridges. Since the repeating units have limited conformational freedom, their rigid backbones allow large molecular surfaces to organize into higher order structures. ${ }^{1}$ The rigidity and planarity of SPAEMs has led to assemblies such as 2D monolayers, ${ }^{2}$ liquid crystals, and nanotubes with well-defined inner pores. ${ }^{3,4}$

Traditional methods, such as cross-coupling reactions, have been widely applied to the synthesis of SPAEMs. The first synthesis of SPAEMs was introduced by Staab and Neunhoeffer in $1974 .{ }^{5}$ Through a six-fold Stephens-Castro coupling of copper miodophenyl acetylide, the formation of a hexameric phenylene ethynylene macrocycle was accomplished in one-step (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Stabb's synthesis of SPAEMs. ${ }^{5}$
However, the irreversible nature of these reactions leads to the formation of oligomeric, polymeric, and macrocyclic products of various sizes. The chain elongation competes with macrocyclization, which results in the low yield of the target macrocycles. ${ }^{6}$ Hence, the overshooting to side products poses a problem during the synthesis of macrocycles using this method.

### 1.2 Dynamic covalent chemistry

Recently, dynamic covalent chemistry $\left(\mathrm{DC}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{C}\right)$ has emerged as a strategy to assemble thermodynamically stable macrocyclic architectures. ${ }^{7}$ It involves reversible covalent bond formation, which is necessary to facilitate equilibrium between monomers, oligomers, and macrocycles. During the reaction, these components experience dynamic exchange with each other. Overshoot products are reintegrated into the process, allowing the system to "self-correct" to yield the most thermodynamically stable products, which are dependent on the bonding angles of the rigid monomer units (Figure 2). ${ }^{7}$


Figure 2. $\mathrm{DC}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{C}$ and ring-chain equilibrium lead to the most thermodynamically stable macrocyclic product.

Typical dynamic covalent reactions involve (1) the formation of new functional groups and (2) exchange reactions between reacting species to give products having identical bond types. ${ }^{8,9}$ The types of dynamic covalent bonds may be divided into three categories: C-C, C-heteroatom, and heteroatom-heteroatom. ${ }^{9}$

Well-known covalent reactions that involve the formation of the dynamic C-C bonds include aldol, Diels-Alder, and Friedel-Crafts reactions. More recently, olefin and alkyne metathesis have been widely applied to the synthesis of complex macrocycles and cages. The assembly of SPAEMs using dynamic alkyne metathesis was first reported by Zhang and Moore in 2004 (Figure 3). ${ }^{10}$ The precipitation of the diarylacetylene byproduct facilitates a shift in the reaction towards the macrocycle products.


Figure 3. Synthesis of a SPAEM using alkyne metathesis. ${ }^{10}$
Imine formation between aldehydes and amines is a classic example of a C heteroatom dynamic covalent reaction. Chavez and Dichtel have recently reported the formation of imine-linked macrocycles (Figure 4). ${ }^{11}$ The starting materials are converted to the macrocycles, which aggregate into layered structures, driving macrocycle formation in high yield.


Figure 4. Synthesis of an imine-linked macrocycle. ${ }^{11}$
Dynamic heteroatom-heteroatom bonds have received great research attention, specifically the B-O bond. Commonly used reactions for the formation of the B-O bond
include boroxine anhydride formation from the self-condensation of boronic acids, and boronate ester formation from the condensation of boronic acids with diols (Figure 5). The use of boronic acids in the construction of macrocycles and polymeric systems will be discussed in the subsequent section.
a) Self-condensation of boronic acids

b) Condensation of boronic acids and diols


Figure 5. Dynamic B-O bond.

### 1.3 Boronic acid in $\mathrm{DC}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{C}$

In general, the unique and advantageous chemistry of boronic acids arise from their properties as Lewis acids. Due to the low valency and the empty p orbital of the boron center, boronic acids can accept electron density from most Lewis bases, such as fluorides or hydroxides, or electron-donating centers, such as nitrogen or oxygen. ${ }^{12}$ The binding of a Lewis base at the boron center results in a change in hybridization from $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ to $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$, with the boronic acid becoming a tetrahedral anionic hydroxyl coordinate species (Figure 6). ${ }^{12}$

$$
\underset{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{OH}}^{\mathrm{OH}}}{\underset{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}}{\mathrm{OH}}} \xlongequal[\mathrm{OH}]{\substack{-\mathrm{OH}_{-}^{-\mathrm{OH}} \\ \mathrm{OH}}}
$$

Figure 6. Boronic acid in the anionic tetrahedral form.

As discussed in section 1.2, boronic acids can form esters when reacted with diols. The boronate esterification has played an important role in the development of COFs. COFs are a class of porous materials that contain lightweight elements ( $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and O) and strong covalent bonds. Porous COFs have high thermal stability and high surface area. ${ }^{13}$ They have shown potential applications in gas storage, ${ }^{13}$ catalysis, ${ }^{14}$ and separation. ${ }^{15}$ Yaghi and his research group were the first to report the successful synthesis of COF-1 (Figure 7) through boroxine formation and COF-5 through boronate esterification (Figure 8). ${ }^{16}$



Figure 7. Synthesis of COF-1 through boroxine formation.


Figure 8. Synthesis of COF-5 through boronate esterification.

These reactions have also been well studied for the preparation of macrocycles, cages, and polymeric frameworks. Northrop and his group reported the synthesis of soluble dioxaborole-based macrocycles from linear bis-catechols and benzene-1,4-diboronic acid (Figure 9). ${ }^{17}$ The increased $\pi$-conjugation in these rectangles showed absorption and fluorescence properties.


$X=$ single bond, $=$
Figure 9. Synthesis of macrocycles via boronate ester formation.
Also through boronate esterification, Beuerler and coworkers synthesized molecular cubes by crosslinking of catechol-functionalized tribenzotriquinacenes and diboronic acids in a one-pot procedure (Figure 10). ${ }^{18}$



Figure 10. Synthesis of molecular cubes via boronate ester formation.

Boronic acids can also self-assemble with diamines to form diazaboroles. Diazaboroles represent a sub-category of B-N containing heterocyclic compounds. The formation of the B-N bonds has been explored for the synthesis of small molecule-based systems due to their potential application in linear and nonlinear optics, ${ }^{19}$ signal amplification in sensory materials, ${ }^{20}$ and in organic light emitting devices. ${ }^{21}$

### 1.4 Diazaboroles

2-Phenyl-1,3,2-benzodiazaborole, also known as diazaborole (DAB), is an aromatic organic molecule that consists of two phenyl rings and a 5-membered B-N containing ring. Due to their extended $\pi$-conjugation, diazaboroles have attractive optical ${ }^{22}$ and electrochemical properties. ${ }^{23}$

Diazaboroles are formed through the condensation of $o$-phenylenediamine (OPD) and phenylboronic acid (PBA) (Figure 11)..$^{24}$ In order to drive the equilibrium toward the DAB product, water can easily be removed by using a Dean-Stark trap.


Figure 11. Formation of DAB.
The incorporation of DAB sub-unit has been used in the construction of polymers, and macrocycles. However, there are very few reported examples. In 1962, Marvel and his group reported syntheses of the first diazaborole polymers (Figure 12). ${ }^{25}$ These polymers exhibit good thermal stability.
a)

b)


Figure 12. Synthesis of diazaborole-based polymers.
Since then, there have been reports of the formation of various oligodiazaboroles by condensing boronic acid derivatives with suitable amines (Figure 13a-b). ${ }^{26}$

b)


Figure 13. Oligodiazaborole-based materials.
Recently, El-Kaderi and his research group reported the syntheses of three highly porous diazaborole-linked polymers (DBLPs) by condensation reactions between a hexaamine and aryl boronic acids (Figure 14). ${ }^{22}$ These polymers demonstrated high gas
uptake $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, high surface area and high thermal stability. In addition, they are emissive upon UV irradiation, which could be useful for sensing anions.


Figure 14. Synthesis of a highly porous DBLP.

### 1.5 Previous work

Previously a diazaborole-based rectangular-shaped macrocycle (DBM-TM) was synthesized by Sanjaya Lokugama using a dimethyl tetraamine monomer (TA-DM) and benzene-1,4-diboronic acid (BDBA) (Figure 15). ${ }^{27}$


Figure 15. Synthesis of a diazaborole-based rectangular shaped macrocycle, DBM-TM.
The reaction was run in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) because neither the BDBA nor the products were soluble in other common aprotic solvents. These limitations have inhibited characterization of intermediates during the reaction. Improving the solubility may not only help the self-assembly of the reactants, but also provide insight into the dynamics and kinetics of macrocycle formation.

To address the solubility limitation of intermediates during monomer and macrocycle synthesis, we propose to introduce solubilizing groups. Previously, a triethylene glycol (Tg) based ester was examined by Chamila Manankandayalage (Figure 16). ${ }^{28}$


Figure 16. Diazaborole-based Tg functionalized macrocycle (DBM-TTg).

Chamila observed the successful formation of the Tg functionalized macrocycle (DBM-TTg) in DMSO as well as toluene. However, neither the macrocycle nor the oligomeric intermediates were found to be soluble in chloroform. The solubilizing power of the Tg ester sidechains was not enough to overcome the pi-pi stacking interactions among the aromatic cores of the macrocycles. ${ }^{28}$

### 1.6 Aims of this research

In general, reflux under harsh conditions has been applied to the synthesis of various diazaboroles. However, the effect of elevated temperature and different organic solvents on product formation has yet to be fully realized. Understanding the impact of these conditions on diazaborole formation allows for their effective synthesis. Therefore, the influence of solvent and temperature on diazaborole formation was investigated. Moreover, real-time reaction monitoring of diazaborole formation using Raman spectroscopy as a convenient, cost-effective, and portable method was explored.

We introduced 2-ethylhexyl ester side chains as substituents to the tetraamine monomer in hopes of improving the overall solubility of the macrocycle unit. In addition, we investigated methods to enhance solubility of the co-reactant BDBA by using soluble BDBA-based esters.

## CHAPTER II

## Examination of the Synthesis of 2-Phenyl-1,3,2-Benzodiazaborole

### 2.1 Introduction

In the late 1950s, Letsinger demonstrated that 2-phenyl-1,3,2-benzodiazaborole (DAB, $\mathbf{1}$ ) formed readily by refluxing $o$-phenylenediamine (OPD, 2) and phenylboronic acid (PBA, 3) in toluene. ${ }^{24}$ Since then, many studies have been reported using this method (toluene, reflux) as a simple and efficient way to synthesize various diazaboroles. ${ }^{7,29-33}$ During the reflux, the azeotropic removal of water from the reaction medium facilitates product formation by shifting the equilibrium.

Solvents may affect reactions by stabilizing reactants, intermediates, or products, which can limit reversibility of the reaction. ${ }^{34}$ Previously, our research group has examined the reaction of benzodiazaborole formation in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$ (Figure 17). ${ }^{27}$ The reaction carried out in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in $72 \%$ benzodiazaborole formation after 200 h . On the other hand, the reaction carried out in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ established equilibrium after 60 h , ultimately reaching $80 \%$ conversion.


Figure 17. Benzodiazaborole formation in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2 Objectives

In order to optimize the yield of $\mathbf{D A B}$, we need to know how different solvents and temperature affect the reaction. Although toluene and DMSO are commonly used solvents for diazaborole synthesis, their effect on diazaborole formation under milder conditions
were unknown at the time. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was used to monitor the reaction in each solvent, toluene and dimethyl sulfoxide, at 80,100 , and $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil-bath temperatures (Figurer 18).


Figure 18. The reaction of PBA and OPD under different solvents and temperature conditions.

Additionally, even though a number of studies have been reported regarding diazaboroles, there are very few reports of the in situ reaction monitoring of its formation. ${ }^{35}$ Considering its advantages, Raman spectroscopy was utilized for real-time reaction monitoring. These efforts will be described in this chapter.

### 2.3 Results and discussion

### 2.3.1 The influence of solvents and temperature on DAB formation

Initial attempts to examine the formation of DAB between PBA and OPD were performed in toluene- $d_{8}$. To begin, PBA was mixed with a stoichiometric amount of OPD in toluene- $d_{8}$ and heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 19).


Figure 19. The reaction of PBA and OPD in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis provided both qualitative and quantitative evidence of the conversion of PBA and OPD to DAB. The reaction progress was monitored by integrating the change of the aromatic signals corresponding to OPD $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and DAB $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)($ Figure 20). These signals were chosen because they are distinct and well separated from the others,
which allows for the quantification of conversion. The consumption of the starting material OPD $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and formation of the product DAB $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ was observed.




Figure 20. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (aromatic region) for $\mathbf{D A B}$ formation in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A similar procedure was carried out in toluene- $d_{8}$ at 100 and $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at 80,100 , and $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The percent conversions are summarized in Figure 21.


Figure 21. Formation of DAB at a) 80, b) 100 and c) $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Figure 21 shows that the starting materials were consumed faster in DMSO compared to toluene. DMSO is a very polar, aprotic solvent and is capable of accepting hydrogen bonds. This can help stabilize the charged intermediates (and transition states) and facilitate proton transfers during the reaction, which results in an increase in rate.

As time progressed, however, the formation of DAB was greater in toluene (Figure 22). The reaction reached $100 \%$ conversion after heating for 23 h at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil-bath. Since toluene is a less hygroscopic solvent, increasing the reaction temperature may have allowed water to evaporate from the system more easily than from DMSO, helping shift the equilibrium toward the product side. For the reaction in DMSO, the increasing presence of water in the medium is evident due to the increasing integral values at $\delta 3.32 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Figure 23). We hypothesized that this caused the reactions to establish equilibrium at $\sim 70 \%$ conversion.


Figure 22. Formation of DAB in toluene- $d_{8}$ (left) and DMSO- $d_{6}$ (right).


Figure 23. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the reaction in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with increasing integral values of the water signal.

The results in this experiment are similar with earlier studies done in DMSO by Sanjaya Lokugama, ${ }^{27}$ where DAB formation in DMSO at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ only reached $\sim 80 \%$ after 60 h of reaction. These results further support that the presence of water in hygroscopic solvents hinders the complete formation of diazaborole.

The use of NMR spectroscopy for reaction monitoring of organic syntheses is widely applied because it is powerful and sensitive. However, it often requires complex sample preparation and serial analysis steps that are time consuming. In addition, conventional NMR instruments are not portable and expensive. Raman spectroscopy is a
technique that provides the potential for portable, sensitive, and real-time analysis of organic reactions.

### 2.3.2 Monitor DAB formation using Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy probes vibrational transitions by exciting the sample with an intense beam of light, and monitoring the inelastic scattering of that light. ${ }^{36}$ It has become a technique for in situ reaction monitoring in chemical syntheses. The chemical reaction investigated in this study mainly involves three compounds that may be Raman active, the starting materials OPD and PBA and the target product DAB. During the reaction, the main structural molecular change is the conversion of C-B-O into C-B-N. As a result, the appearance and disappearance of peaks or change in intensities of the peaks associated with the structural changes will be utilized for reaction monitoring.

In order to mitigate the influence of polar aprotic solvents on $\mathbf{D A B}$ formation and also to ensure the greater solubility of all participating analytes, chloroform was chosen over DMSO and toluene. Chloroform is relatively nonreactive and will not affect the working solutes. Since room temperature condensation of diazaboroles in chloroform is relatively slow, ${ }^{7,25}$ the temperature is elevated for this reaction to increase the rate.

The first set of experiments was carried out to obtain Raman spectra of pure reactants and products (OPD, PBA, and DAB) and pristine chloroform (Figure 24). Raman spectra were collected using the assembled Raman system with the Bayspec probe shown in Figure 25. The Raman spectrum of DAB in Figure 24 shows Raman-active stretching mode at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ while the OPD and PBA starting materials show minimal Raman activity in this area. In addition, the peak at $1016 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is overlapping with neighboring peaks and the one at $1426 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ had baseline correction complications.

Therefore, the peak at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was identified as a potential signal to monitor reaction progress.


Figure 24. Raman spectra for OPD, PBA, and DAB in chloroform and pristine chloroform. The spectra were offset for clarity.


Figure 25. a) Raman spectrometer set-up for Raman sampling. b) During the reaction, the glass vial was insulated in the aluminum block heaters to maintain the temperature. c) The glass vial was centered to the laser probe tip for Raman sampling. d) A black velvet fabric was used to cover the glass vial and the probe tip to avoid light interference during the analysis.

With this signal in mind, the reaction was ran at 308 mM in chloroform in a glass vial. The reaction was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring and Raman spectra were obtained over time (Figure 26).


Figure 26. The reaction of PBA and $\mathbf{O P D}$ in chloroform at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The Raman spectra obtained during the reaction of PBA and OPD are shown in Figure 27 (top left). Normalization was performed using Microsoft Excel, where the baseline ( $831 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) was set to zero near the peak of interest ( $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and the solvent peak ( $1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) was set to 1 (Figure 27, top right). The changes in Raman intensities at 850 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{850}\right)$ were then plotted versus time (Figure 27, bottom right). The sharp change in Raman intensity during the first 12 h of the reaction suggests the relatively fast product formation. Since little change was observed in the Raman signal after 12-38 h of reaction, the reaction may have established equilibrium. Molecular sieves ( $3 \AA$ ) were added at the 48 hour mark in an attempt to remove the water byproduct and shift the reaction to the products. This led to the formation of a white precipitate at 56 h of reaction. After 68 h , the reaction mixture became more turbid, indicating the formation of more precipitate. The solution turbidity increases Rayleigh scattering, which interferes with the Raman signal detection by varying the baseline. Therefore the reaction vial was removed from heating at the 68 h mark. The precipitate was isolated (see Experimental Methods) and identified as DAB (61\% yield) using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.


Figure 27. Raman spectra during the formation of DAB ( 308 mM ); top left: raw data; top right: normalized; bottom left: zoom; bottom right: peak heights at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} v s$. time.

Since the addition of molecular sieves seemed to help with product formation, the experiment was repeated with the inclusion of molecular sieves ( $3 \AA$, 2 equiv by mass relative to PBA) at the onset. Spectra were obtained every $2-4 \mathrm{~h}$ to better observe the change in product formation during the first 12 h of reaction (Figure 28, top left). Similar normalization was performed (Figure 28, top right) and the changes in Raman intensities at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{850}\right)$ were plotted versus time (Figure 28 , bottom right).

Figure 28 (bottom right) shows a gradual change in Raman intensities during the first 30 h of reaction. After 49 h , the reaction mixture became turbid due to precipitation and the Raman spectrum obtained had a less intense solvent signals as well as the signal at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ than the same bands recorded at 24 h of reaction. The turbidity of the solution leads to the decrease in the amount of product exposed to the light coming from the laser. This causes the intensity of Raman scattering to reduce. After 73.5 h , the reaction mixture became very turbid and therefore, was removed from heating. The precipitate was isolated (see Experimental Methods) and identified as DAB ( $57 \%$ yield) using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.


Figure 28. Raman spectra during the formation of DAB ( 308 mM ) in presence of molecular sieves at the onset; top left: raw data; top right: normalized; bottom left: zoom; bottom right: peak heights at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ vs. time.

For the reaction with molecular sieves added at the onset, DAB formation was fast within the first 6 h of reaction (Figure 29, right). After 24 h, small changes in Raman intensities were observed. The inclusion of molecular sieves at the onset allowed for the gradual removal of water from the reaction medium, leading to the continuing shift in
equilibrium to the right of the reaction shown in Figure 26. However, this resulted in little change in reaction outcome (Figure 29).


Figure 29. Peak heights at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ vs. time for the reactions with molecular sieves added at 48 h and at the onset.

In an attempt to quantify DAB formation in solution, a calibration curve was obtained to relate the Raman intensity to units of concentration. To achieve this, solutions of DAB having various concentrations were prepared and measured. The spectra were normalized in the same manner, where the baseline $\left(831 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ was set to zero near the peak of interest $\left(850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and the solvent peak $\left(1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ was set to one. When the signal intensity at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{850}\right)$ was plotted against concentration [DAB], it showed a linear relationship (Figure 30).

| $[\mathrm{DAB}]$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{850}$ <br> (relative Raman intensity) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 6.25 | 0.010 |
| 12.5 | 0.015 |
| 25 | 0.039 |
| 50 | 0.072 |
| 100 | 0.141 |



Figure 30. Peak heights at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for various DAB dilutions (left) and calibration curve (right).

A least linear squares fit for the data in Figure 30 yielded the concentration calibration equation shown on the graph, which can be written in terms of the peak height $\mathrm{H}_{850}$ and concentration [DAB] for each solution, as shown in the equation below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{850}=0.0014[D A B]+0.00066 \tag{Equation1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation can be rearranged in terms of $\mathbf{D A B}$ concentration.

$$
\begin{equation*}
[D A B]=\frac{H_{850}-0.00066}{0.0014} \tag{Equation2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Equation 2, the concentration of DAB was estimated for the first reaction between OPD and PBA, where molecular sieves were added at 48 h of reaction in Table 1. From this data, its ratio with the expected concentration of the product ( 308 mM ) gives percent conversion values. Since the reaction mixture showed turbidity at 56 h , the saturation concentration of DAB is estimated to be less than or equal to 190 mM .

Table 1
Raman data for the reaction of $\mathbf{O P D}$ and $\boldsymbol{P B A}$ in chloroform (308 mM)

| Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{850}$ <br> (rel. Raman intensity) | $[\mathrm{DAB}]$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM})$ | Conversion <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 h | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 12 h | 0.24 | 173 | $56 \%$ |
| 24 h | 0.25 | 179 | $58 \%$ |
| 36 h | 0.27 | 190 | $62 \%$ |
| 48 h | 0.25 | 179 | $58 \%$ |
| 56 h | 0.27 | 190 | $62 \%$ |
| 68 h | 0.29 | 209 | $68 \%$ |

Similarly, the concentration of DAB was estimated for the second reaction, where molecular sieves were included at the onset (Table 2). Since the reaction mixture showed
turbidity at 49 h , the saturation concentration of DAB is estimated to be in between 169 to 181 mM . Due to the surface of the molecular sieves, their presence at the onset induced crystallization, causing DAB to precipitate sooner than the previous experiment.

Table 2
Raman data for the reaction of $\boldsymbol{O P D}$ and $\boldsymbol{P B A}$ in chloroform with the inclusion of molecular sieves at the onset ( 308 mM )

| Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{850}$ | $[\mathrm{DAB}]$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM})$ | Conversion <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 h | 0.00 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 2 h | 0.09 | 61 | $20 \%$ |
| 4 h | 0.13 | 90 | $29 \%$ |
| 6 h | 0.15 | 106 | $34 \%$ |
| 10 h | 0.18 | 130 | $42 \%$ |
| 24 h | 0.23 | 163 | $53 \%$ |
| 29.5 h | 0.24 | 169 | $55 \%$ |
| 49 h | 0.25 | 181 | $59 \%$ |
| 73.5 h | 0.27 | 190 | $62 \%$ |

Despite the change in turbidity of the reaction mixture, $\mathbf{D A B}$ formation in these conditions is estimated to reach up to $68 \%$ conversion. These results are similar with earlier studies of DAB in chloroform at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, where DAB reached $70 \%$ after $150 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{27}$ This means that using the solvent peak at $1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ as internal standard, variation in the laser intensity can be corrected for and change in turbidity of the reaction mixture can be overcome. Also, the isolated yield of pure DAB for these reactions, which are $61 \%$ and $57 \%$, respectively, are in agreement with the estimates.

It should be noted that the above concentrations $(>100 \mathrm{mM})$ are not in the range of the calibration standards ( $1-100 \mathrm{mM}$ ), and this is only an estimate for the amount of $\mathbf{D A B}$ formed in the reaction mixture. Therefore, a new set of experiments was performed at a lower concentration within the range of the calibration standards. Equimolar amounts of OPD and PBA $(100 \mathrm{mM})$ in chloroform were allowed to react at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and Raman spectra were obtained over time (Figure 31, top left). At the 68 h mark, unlike the previous reactions, a red precipitate was observed, indicating side reactions may have taken place. The reaction vial was then removed from heating. Normalization at the baseline $\left(831 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ near the peak of interest $\left(850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and the solvent peak $\left(1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ was performed using Microsoft Excel (Figure 31, top right). The changes in Raman intensities at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were plotted versus time (Figure 31, bottom right).


Figure 31. Raman spectra during the formation of $\mathbf{D A B}(100 \mathrm{mM})$; top left: raw data; top right: normalized; bottom left: zoom; bottom right: peak heights at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} v s$. time.

Using Equation 2, the concentration of DAB was estimated (Table 3).
Table 3
Raman data for the reaction of OPD and PBA in chloroform (100 mM)

| Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{850}$ | $[\mathrm{DAB}]$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM})$ | Conversion <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 h | 0.000 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 2 h | 0.017 | 11 | $11 \%$ |
| 4 h | 0.054 | 38 | $38 \%$ |
|  |  |  | (continued) |


| Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{850}$ | $[\mathrm{DAB}]$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM})$ | Conversion <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 h | 0.074 | 52 | $52 \%$ |
| 22 h | 0.079 | 56 | $56 \%$ |
| 47 h | 0.080 | 57 | $57 \%$ |
| 67 h | 0.094 | 67 | $67 \%$ |

The reaction was repeated with the inclusion of molecular sieves at the onset.
Similar data processing was performed to obtain the data shown in Figure 32.


Figure 32. Raman spectra during the formation of DAB with molecular sieves ( 100 mM ); top left: raw data; top right: normalized; bottom left: zoom; bottom right: peak heights at $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ vs. time.

Using Equation 2 established from the calibration curve, the concentration of DAB was estimated (Table 4).

Table 4

Raman data for the reaction of $\mathbf{O P D}$ and $\mathbf{P B A}$ in chloroform with the inclusion of molecular sieves at the onset ( 100 mM )

| Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{850}$ | $[\mathrm{DAB}]$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM})$ | Conversion <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 h | 0.000 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 2 h | 0.013 | 9 | $9 \%$ |
| 4 h | 0.047 | 33 | $33 \%$ |
| 10 h | 0.051 | 36 | $36 \%$ |
| 22 h | 0.068 | 48 | $48 \%$ |
| 47 h | 0.083 | 59 | $59 \%$ |
| 67 h | 0.085 | 60 | $60 \%$ |

Similarly, DAB formation under these conditions is estimated to reach up to $67 \%$ conversion. Overall, these results are in agreement with the results of the reaction monitoring using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. However, the baseline noise in the Raman experiments is almost as large as some of the signals. This will limit not only the accuracy for the estimates of percent conversions, but also the range of concentrations that may be used for reaction monitoring.

The incomplete conversion of OPD and PBA to DAB may be due to limitation on the stability of the product under these conditions. This prediction was examined by heating DAB in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained for 10 days (Figures 33 and 34). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra reveal that peaks corresponding to $\mathbf{O P D}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}}\right)$ and boroxine $\left(\mathbf{4}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}\right)$, which is an anhydride form of PBA, appear over time. This
indicates that hydrolysis of the DAB occurred. Although no water was added to the DAB sample, ambient moisture or water present in the deuterated solvent caused the molecule to hydrolyze overtime. By integrating the signals related to DAB (7.74 ppm, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) and those of boroxine ( $8.25 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ), hydrolysis of DAB was estimated to be $12 \%$. The result suggests that the potential reversibility of the DAB in solution under these conditions precluded the forward reaction from going to completion. Future investigations may involve the addition of water to the $\mathbf{D A B}$ sample to examine the equilibration point of the reaction.


Figure 33. DAB was heated in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 34. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{D A B}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 days.

### 2.4 Conclusions

In summary, DAB formation is influenced by the nature of the solvent and temperature. The starting materials were consumed and products formed faster in DMSO than in toluene because DMSO is more polar, which results in stabilization of charged intermediates. This can help stabilize the charged intermediates and facilitate the proton transfers during the reaction, which results in an increase in rate. However, the percent conversion was lower in DMSO since the water byproduct was less able to leave the
reaction medium under these conditions. As a result, the reactions in DMSO established equilibrium at $\sim 70 \%$ conversion. On the other hand, DAB formation favored reaction conditions in less polar toluene and at higher temperatures, where complete formation of DAB can be achieved within 23 h reaction time at an oil-bath temperature of $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

By using a calibration curve, product formation was determined from Raman spectral data. The saturation concentration of $\mathbf{D A B}$ is estimated to be less than or equal to 190 mM in chloroform at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The use of molecular sieves had little effect in the overall yield of DAB. However, their presence in the reaction medium induces crystallization, thus decreasing the homogeneity of the reaction mixture. Using solvent as an internal standard, variations due to the change in turbidity of the reaction mixture can be overcome. The reactions between OPD and PBA in chloroform at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ can achieve up to $68 \%$ conversion. The potential reversibility of $\mathbf{D A B}$ due to presence of water in the reaction medium may hinder the complete formation of $\mathbf{D A B}$.

### 2.5 Experimental

All starting materials and reagents were purchased from commercial sources (Frontier Scientific, Alfa Aesar, Macron, J.T.Baker, and Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) and used without further purification, unless otherwise mentioned. The NMR solvents, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, toluene- $d_{8}$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$, were stored over activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. These sieves were activated by heating at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum for 3 hours.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were collected using JEOL Eclipse 300+ spectrometer. The Raman spectra were collected using a BaySpec Agility 785 nm Raman spectrometer.

Reactions in different solvents and temperatures. An equimolar amount of $o$ phenylenediamine (OPD, $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and phenylboronic acid (PBA, 4.5
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were mixed in an NMR tube in toluene- $d_{8}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction tube was submerged in an oil bath at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained over time. This reaction was repeated (using the same amounts) in the same solvent at oil-bath temperatures of 100 and $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at oil-bath temperatures of 80,100 , and $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Synthesis of 2-phenyl-1,3,2-benzodiazaborole (DAB, 3). A mixture of OPD ( $0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and PBA ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were dissolved in toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and refluxed using a Dean-Stark trap for 20 h . Then, the solution was concentrated and cooled to room temperature. The resulting crystals were isolated by vacuum filtration and washed with cold toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cold hexane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give a white solid $(0.94$ $\mathrm{g}, 78 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (301 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-1.10$ (m, 2H), 7.00-6.95 (m, 2H), 6.78 (br. s, 2H).

Pure solutions in chloroform. Each of the pure solutions of OPD, PBA, and DAB was freshly prepared in a glass vial. OPD $(0.5 \mathrm{M})$ was prepared by dissolving OPD (540.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ in chloroform ( 10 mL ) in a glass vial ( 20 mL ). PBA $(0.5 \mathrm{M})$ was prepared by dissolving PBA (121.9 mg, 0.001 mol$)$ in chloroform ( 2 mL ) in a glass vial ( 20 mL ). A saturated solution of DAB ( $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ) was prepared by mixing DAB (194.0 mg, 0.001 mol) in chloroform ( 2 mL ) in a glass vial ( 20 mL ). Raman spectra were obtained and exported to Microsoft Excel for processing.

Reaction in chloroform at $\left.50{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{( 3 0 8} \mathbf{~ m M}\right)$. PBA $(333.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.083 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and OPD ( $375.9 \mathrm{mg}, 3.083 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) were dissolved in chloroform ( 10 mL ) in a 20 mL glass vial. The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction progress was monitored using the Raman spectrometer. After 48 h of reaction, molecular sieves (3
$\AA, \sim 800 \mathrm{mg})$ were added. At 68 h of reaction, the glass vial was removed from heating. The white precipitate that formed was filtered and washed with a small amount of chloroform. This product was collected as crop 1 and identified as DAB (362.6 mg, 61\% yield) using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Figure 35). Any crystals formed on the edge of the filter paper that were visibly different in color were collected as crop $2(46.7 \mathrm{mg})$. All washings and filtrate were combined and solvent was removed to obtain crop 3 (202.3 mg). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analyses of crops 2 and 3 were obtained in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The results revealed that these two crops contained mostly DAB, with a small amount of boroxine (4) and starting material OPD.


Figure 35. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the isolated products from the reaction of OPD and PBA ( 308 mM ) in chloroform at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Reaction in chloroform at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with molecular sieves at the onset ( $\mathbf{3 0 8} \mathbf{~ m M}$ ).
PBA ( $333.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.083 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) and OPD ( $375.9 \mathrm{mg}, 3.083 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) were dissolved in chloroform ( 10 mL ) in a 20 mL glass vial. Molecular sieves ( 3 Å: 754.7 mg ) were added to the reaction mixture, which was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction progress was monitored over time using the Raman spectrometer. At 73.5 h of reaction, the glass vial was removed from heating. After the removal of the molecular sieves, the white precipitate that formed was filtered and washed with a small amount of chloroform. This product was
collected as crop 1 and identified as DAB ( $340.3 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ yield) by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. All washings and filtrate were combined and solvent was removed to obtain crop $2(109.5 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of crop 2 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ revealed the presence of $\mathbf{D A B}$ and a small amount of boroxine (4) and OPD.

Serial dilutions for DAB concentration calibration curve. Chloroform was heated to $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before use. A 100 mM DAB stock solution was prepared by completely dissolving DAB ( $194.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to the mark with $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ chloroform in a volumetric flask ( 10 mL ). From this stock solution, DAB calibration standards ranging from 6.25 to 50 mM were prepared by serial dilution. Each standard solution ( 5 mL ) was transferred into glass vials ( 20 mL ). The collection of Raman spectra began immediately after completing the preparation of the calibration solutions. Linear least squares regression was used to fit a line to the data in Microsoft Excel.

Reaction in chloroform at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}(100 \mathrm{mM})$. PBA $(122.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.000 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and OPD ( $108.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.000 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were dissolved in chloroform ( 10 mL ) in a 20 mL glass vial. The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction progress was monitored periodically using the Raman spectrometer. At 67 h of reaction, a red precipitate was observed. The glass vial was removed from heating. The red precipitate was filtered and isolated as crop 1. Chloroform was evaporated from the filtrate under vacuum until the volume was reduced by half. The crystals which formed were filtered and washed with chloroform ( 2 mL ). This product was collected as crop 2 and identified as DAB ( $125.0 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield) using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

All washings and filtrate were combined with crop 1. Chloroform was removed under vacuum to give a dark brown solid mixture ( 23.0 mg ), which was identified as a combination of DAB, boroxine (4), and unreacted OPD using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

Reaction in chloroform at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with molecular sieves (100mM). PBA (122.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.000 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and OPD ( $108.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.000 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were dissolved in chloroform ( 10 mL ) in a glass vial ( 20 mL ). Molecular sieves ( $3 \mathrm{~A}: 195.6 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were added to the reaction mixture, which was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction progress was monitored using Raman spectrometer. At 67 h of reaction, a red precipitate was observed. The glass vial was removed from heating. After the removal of the molecular sieves, the red precipitate was isolated as crop 1. Chloroform was evaporated from the filtrate under vacuum until the volume was reduced by half. The crystals which formed were filtered and washed with chloroform ( 2 mL ). This product was collected as crop 2 and identified as DAB (107.4 mg, 55\% yield) using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

All washings and filtrate were combined with crop 1. Chloroform was removed under vacuum to give a dark brown solid mixture ( 42.5 mg ), which was identified as a combination of DAB, boroxine (4), and unreacted OPD using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

## CHAPTER III

## Synthesis and Reactions of an Ethylhexyl-Ester Substituted Tetraamine Monomer

### 3.1 Introduction

The use of diazaboroles in oligomeric systems such as macrocycles and covalent organic frameworks is being investigated by our research group. Herein, we introduce a 2-ethylhexyl side chain to increase the solubility of the tetraamine (TA) monomer in common organic solvents such as chloroform, tetrahydrofuran, and ethyl acetate, as discussed in chapter 1. It was predicted that the 2-ethylhexyl groups may increase the stacking distance between the target macrocycles due to steric hindrance allowing for greater solubility.

The solubility of the co-monomer BDBA is also another limiting factor due to the formation of polymeric boroxine structure. Instead, using ester precursor may overcome this solubility problem.

### 3.2 Objectives

The work described in this chapter is aimed at the development of a diazaborolebased macrocyclic system with improved solubility by incorporating 2-ethylhexyl groups. The following retrosynthetic analysis shows the undoing of an ethylhexyl ester substituted tetraamine monomer (TA-DEH) to a commercially available derivative of benzoic acid $\mathbf{5}$ (Figure 36). The synthetic details will be discussed in the results and discussion section.



Figure 36. Retrosynthetic analysis of TA-DEH.
Additionally, the poor solubility of benzene-1,4-diboronic acid (BDBA) is a limiting factor in the formation of complex macrocyclic systems. To overcome this issue, the ability of monomer TA-DEH to participate in the dynamic exchange with functionalized BDBA-based esters to form macrocyclic diazaboroles was explored.

### 3.3 Results and discussion

The synthesis of an ethylhexyl ester substituted tetraamine monomer (TA-DEH) started with 4-amino-3-nitrobenzoic acid (5) (Figure 37). Fischer esterification gave 2ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-nitrobenzoate (6) as a dark yellow solid in $83 \%$ yield. Subsequent bromination in dichloromethane (DCM) resulted in 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-bromo-5nitrobenzoate (7) as a yellow solid in $80 \%$ yield.



Figure 37. Synthesis of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-bromo-5-nitrobenzoate (7).
Next, bromide 7 was subjected to Sonogashira coupling conditions with trimethylsilylacetylene (TMSA, Figure 38). Initially, the reaction was carried out using bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride $\left(\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ and copper iodide $(\mathrm{CuI})$ as the catalyst system. 2-Ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)-5-nitrobenzoate (8) was isolated in $37 \%$ yield. The low yield of the reaction was likely due to the premature decomposition of the palladium catalyst. Therefore, additional triphenylphosphine $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ ligand was included in the reaction to help stabilize the palladium. This resulted in an increased yield of $82 \%$. The formation of the product was verified using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis.


Figure 38. Synthesis of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)-5-nitrobenzoate (8).

Subsequent deprotection of the trimethylsilyl group gave 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-ethynyl-5-nitrobenzoate (9) as a brown oil in $68 \%$ yield (Figure 39). Alkyne 9 was then coupled with the previously synthesized bromoarene 7 under Sonogashira coupling reaction conditions. 3,3’-(Ethyne-1,2-diyl)bis(2-ethylhexyl 5-nitro-4-aminobenzoate) (10) was isolated as a yellow solid in $67 \%$ yield. Finally, tin based reduction of the nitro group resulted in the formation of the tetraamine monomer TA-DEH having ethylhexyl ester side chains in $71 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis supported the formation and purity of the expected product (Figure 40).


Figure 39. Synthetic route to TA-DEH from 8.

TA-DEH

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & 5.5 & 5.0 & 4.5 & \begin{array}{c}4.0 \\ (\mathrm{ppm})\end{array} & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.0\end{array}$

Figure $40 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of TA-DEH.
Improved solubility of TA-DEH, as well as intermediates $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ in common organic solvents such as ethyl acetate, chloroform, and tetrahydrofuran, was observed throughout the multi-step synthesis toward TA-DEH. To probe to solubility further, a qualitative solubility study with chloroform was performed with the TA-DEH, TA-DM ${ }^{27}$ and TA-DTg ${ }^{28}$ (Figure 41).


Figure 41. Monomers with various pendent groups (TA-DEH, TA-DM and TA-DTg).
Equal moles of each material were dissolved in chloroform (see Experimental Methods) and the results were recorded in Table 5. The estimated solubilities of the
monomers were calculated as their saturated concentration in chloroform in terms of molality.

Table 5.
Solubilities of tetraamine monomers in chloroform

| Monomer | Mols of the <br> monomer <br> $(\mathrm{mol})$ | Amount of <br> CHCl <br> $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Estimated saturated <br> concentration <br> (molality, $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TA-DEH | $4.2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.8 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| TA-DM | $4.1 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.2 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| TA-DTg | $4.2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-3}$ |

We expected the TA-DTg monomer to be more soluble in chloroform compared to TA-DM monomer because of the solubilizing Tg ester sidechains. However, the estimated solubility of TA-DTg was lower than that of TA-DM. TA-DEH was more soluble than TA-DM and TA-DTg by greater than a factor of 10 in terms of molality. This can be attributed to the presence of branched 2-ethylhexyl ester side chains instead of methyl or Tg ester groups in the monomer.

With the more soluble tetraamine monomer (TA-DEH) in hand, the reaction with benzene-1,4-diboronic acid (BDBA) to form the ethylhexyl ester substituted diazaborolebased macrocycle (DBM-TEH) was performed under reflux conditions using a Dean-Stark apparatus (Figure 42). The starting materials were initially dissolved in ethanol to improve solubility of the BDBA. ${ }^{37}$


Figure 42. Synthesis of DBM-TEH from TA-DEH.
After 5 days of refluxing, the reaction mixture was concentrated and cooled to room temperature to induce precipitation. Upon filtering, a light color solid was obtained. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was initially attempted in chloroform. However, unlike the tetraamine monomer starting material, the solid was not soluble. We suspect this is due to the formation of oligomers. This also indicates that the addition of the ethylhexyl groups was not enough to overcome solubility issues. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was again attempted in DMSO- $d_{6}$. After 2 h of sonication and heating to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, evidence for formation of the macrocycle was observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Figure 43).


Figure 43. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the product mixture from Figure 42.
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ signals at 10.26 and 9.80 ppm are attributed to the NH protons. The aromatic protons of the phenylene groups appear at $8.35,7.80$, and $7.72 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right.$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$, respectively). The $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ directly linked to the oxygen of the ethylhexyl ester side chains are assigned to the signal at 4.21 ppm . All other $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons of the ethylhexyl sidechains show signals in the range $1.71-0.88 \mathrm{ppm}$. The shoulder off of the signal for NH at 9.80 ppm as well as overlapping signals in the aromatic region $8.22-7.42 \mathrm{ppm}$ suggest the presence of oligomeric intermediates. Despite the contamination of potential oligomers, it is clear that macrocycle formation occurred. Furthermore, MALDI mass spectra analysis of the reaction mixture reveals the presence of the macrocycle due to the signal at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=1288.752[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$, which is in agreement with the calculated value of 1288.84 .


Figure 44. MALDI mass spectrum of the reaction mixture from Figure 42.
The same reaction was carried out in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an NMR tube (Figure 45). This experiment allowed for the direct examination of the reaction progress using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Figure 46) and to observe the potential oligomeric intermediates.


Figure 45. Reaction of TA-DEH and BDBA in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.
Figure 46 shows the consumption of the starting materials in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra over time. After 11 h , evidence for formation of diazaborole was observed due to the broad
singlets at $\delta 10.26$ and 9.80 ppm , which correspond to the protons of the NH groups. Oligomeric intermediates were also observed with many overlapping signals in the aromatic region. For this reason, it was difficult to identify oligomeric intermediates only by analyzing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. However, distinct peaks started to become more prevalent with time. This is evidence that oligomeric products experienced self-assembly to form the more symmetric and thermodynamically stable macrocycle. At 121 h , the reaction did not progress further. The signals related to the macrocycle actually decreased at 412 h of reaction, which was likely due to hydrolysis due to the increasing presence of water in the reaction medium. In addition, the proton signals from this experiment correlate to the proton signals in the earlier experiment performed in toluene under reflux conditions (Figure 43). This further confirms the formation of DBM-TEH in DMSO.


Figure 46. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the reaction progress of TA-DEH and BDBA in DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$.

The formation of the macrocycle product is limited in part by the poor solubility of benzene-1,4-diboronic acid (BDBA). To overcome this, we pursued more soluble BDBAbased esters, which can easily be obtained from the esterification of BDBA and commercial inexpensive diols, such as catechol, tert-butyl catechol, and diethyl tartrate.

The dynamic exchange of simple catechol ester (7) and $o$-phenylenediamine (OPD) was previously examined in our group. ${ }^{38}$ In this study, the reagents were mixed at room
temperature in chloroform, and the reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.


Figure 47. Reaction of benzodioxaborole 11 and OPD.
Under these conditions, the reaction was very slow and only $10 \%$ of the reactants had converted to DAB and catechol 12. For our purpose, macrocyclization might overcome the $10 \%$ conversion due to intra $v s$. intermolecular reactions. At room temperature, the TA-DEH monomer may participate in dynamic covalent exchange with $2,2^{\prime}$ -(1,4-phenylene)bis(1,3,2-benzodioxaborole) (13), instead of BDBA (Figure 48).


Figure 48. The exchange reaction of monomer TA-DEH and BDBA-based ester 13.
First, the synthesis of 2,2'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(1,3,2-benzodioxaborole) (13) was accomplished by following a reported procedure. ${ }^{37}$ BDBA and catechol $\mathbf{1 2}$ were mixed in
toluene under reflux conditions for 90 min using a Dean-Stark apparatus. Methanol was added to increase the solubility of BDBA, and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves were added to help with the removal of water (Figure 49). After 90 min , a white precipitate formed in the reaction mixture. The resulting solid was isolated by vacuum filtration and did not require further purification. However, it was sparingly soluble in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Even though ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed no trace of contaminants, the analysis was repeated at higher concentration in DMSO- $d_{6}$ to verify the purity of the expected product.


13
Figure 49. Synthesis of 2,2'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(1,3,2-benzodioxaborole) (13).
To increase solubility, $t$-butyl catechol 14 and BDBA were reacted and isolated under similar conditions to give a $t$-butyl substituted ester of BDBA (15). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the resulting white solid in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ supported the formation of the product.


Figure 50. Synthesis of a $t$-butyl substituted ester of BDBA (15).
With the more soluble BDBA-based ester 15 in hand, the exchange reaction with simple $o$-phenylenediamine (OPD) was first investigated (Figure 51). The reaction was monitored for 9 days in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Since the potential product, bis(diazaborole) 16, is not readily soluble in chloroform, it should precipitate from the solution and help shift the equilibrium towards the products.


Figure 51. Reaction of BDBA-based ester 15 and OPD.
Analysis by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed a decrease of the aromatic signals of the starting materials $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f}}\right.$, Figure 52). There was no evidence of the target bis(diazaborole) $\mathbf{1 6}$ even after 9 days, neither by visible observation of any precipitation nor by analyzing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. The appearance of the signals at $\delta=8.06\left(\mathrm{Hg}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ and 7.78 $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$ may result from the monosubstituted diazaborole 17 (Figure 53). This intermediate is a less symmetrical molecule than the reactant 15 and therefore there are two doublets associated with the phenylene protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$. By integrating these ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals and those of $\mathbf{1 5}\left(8.17 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, we estimate $22 \%$ conversion of $\mathbf{1 5}$ to $\mathbf{1 7}$ or $11 \%$ overall conversion of dioxaborole to diazaborole.


Figure 52. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the reaction progress of $t$-butyl BDBA-based ester $\mathbf{1 5}$ and OPD.


Figure 53. Monosubstituted diazaborole 17.
Even though the target product bis(diazaborole) $\mathbf{1 6}$ did not form, we still pursued the reaction between $\operatorname{bis}($ dioxaborole) $\mathbf{1 5}$ with monomer TA-DEH since the intramolecular macrocyclization $v s$. intermolecular reactions may act as a driving force. Therefore, the reactants were mixed under similar conditions (Figure 54).


Figure 54. Reaction of monomer TA-DEH and BDBA-based ester 15.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis showed the appearance of signals at $\delta 6.77-6.89 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{i}}\right)$ consistent with the formation of $t$-butyl catechol 14 . The appearance of $\mathbf{1 4}$ could be due to the hydrolysis of the BDBA-based ester 15 (Figure 55). Although the signals related to the starting materials have broadened, they showed no significant changes even after 357 h . No distinct peaks related to the macrocycle were observed in the aromatic region. Additionally, there was no precipitation to indicate the formation of the target DBM-TEH.





Figure 55. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of reaction progress of $t$-butyl BDBA-based ester 15 and monomer TA-DEH.

Tartrate based boronate esters are known to have low stability due to the steric repulsions between the substituents. ${ }^{39}$ This may lead to faster dynamic exchange with monomer TA-DEH. Furthermore, Letsinger and Hamilton serendipitously prepared 2-
phenyl-1,3,2-benzodiazaborole (DAB) in an attempt to accelerate amide formation between ethyl tartrate ester of phenylboronic acid (18) and o-phenylenediamine (OPD) (Figure 56)..$^{24}$ It was observed that the B-N compound was formed readily even at room temperature by cleavage of a B-O bond. This was assumed to be caused by the unusual reactivity of the $o$-phenylenediamine due to its particular geometry and the stability of the ring system that was formed. ${ }^{24}$


Figure 56. Early study of the formation of B-N bonds by Letsinger. ${ }^{24}$
Therefore, a strategy for formation of the B-N bond between a tartrate ester of BDBA and an aromatic amine was employed for larger macrocyclic systems. First, the synthesis of tartrate ester $\mathbf{1 9}$ was performed. BDBA and ( $2 R, 3 R$ )-diethyl tartrate (20) were mixed in toluene under reflux conditions for 90 min using a Dean-Stark apparatus (Figure 57). The reaction was facilitated by the addition of ethanol to help dissolve the BDBA. The reaction mixture was concentrated and cooled to induce precipitation. Diboronate ester 19 was then isolated by vacuum filtration and washed with cold toluene to remove
unreacted diethyl tartrate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the resulting white solid in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ supported the formation of the expected product.
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Figure 57. Synthesis of BDBA-based ester 19.
The exchange reaction of BDBA-based ester 19 and monomer TA-DEH was then studied in a similar manner (Figure 58). Mixing an equimolar amount of each of the reagents resulted in very slow exchange. Analysis by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed the decrease of aromatic signals at $\delta=7.67\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ and $7.38 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ related to the tetraamine along with the appearance of the signal at $\delta=4.62 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ consistent with the formation of the diethyl tartrate $\mathbf{2 0}$ byproduct (Figure 59). Similar to the exchange reaction of TADEH and ester 15, however, no distinct peaks related to the target DBM-TEH were observed in the aromatic region even after 6 days. In addition, there was no precipitate to indicate the formation of the macrocycle.


Figure 58. Reaction of monomer TA-DEH and BDBA-based ester 19.


Figure 59. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the reaction progress of monomer TA-DEH and BDBAbased ester $\mathbf{1 9}$ with increasing signal of $\mathbf{2 0}$ over time.

To investigate this further, bis(dioxaborolane) 19 was reacted with simple OPD under the same reaction conditions (Figure 60).


## Figure 60. Reaction of 19 and OPD.

Analysis using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed a decrease of the aromatic signals of the starting materials $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{f}}$, Figure 61). There was no evidence of the target bis(diazaborole) $\mathbf{1 6}$ even after 2 weeks, neither by visible observation of any precipitation nor by examination of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. The appearance of the signals at $\delta=7.94\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ and $7.75\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$ may result from the potential monosubstituted diazaborole 21 (Figure 62). By integrating these ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals and those of $17\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, we estimate $28 \%$ conversion of $\mathbf{1 9}$ to $\mathbf{2 1}$ or 14\% overall conversion of dioxaborole to diazaborole.


Figure $61 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the reaction progress of BDBA-based ester 19 and OPD.


Figure 62. Monosubstituted diazaborole 21.
The results from these experiments suggest that the BDBA-based tartrate esters are more stable than the corresponding diazaborole. To investigate this further, the reaction of ethyl tartrate ester of benzeneboronic acid $\mathbf{1 8}$ and OPD (Figure 56) was reproduced according to Letsinger and Hamilton's reported experimental method. ${ }^{24}$ The precursor boronate ester 18 was also prepared based on his reported procedure (Figure 63). Diethyl tartrate $\mathbf{2 0}$ and PBA were allowed to reflux in toluene for 6 h using a Dean-Stark apparatus. After the removal of solvent, a white solid was obtained. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ supported the formation of boronate ester 18.


Figure 63. Synthesis of boronate ester 18.

Next, we attempted to reproduce the synthesis of DAB by using the reaction of boronate ester 18 and OPD. An equimolar mixture of each of the starting materials in benzene was reacted at room temperature for one hour in a glass vial. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure at room temperature until the volume was reduced by half. The resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with benzene. The process of concentration, filtration and washing was repeated five times. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the resulting product was identified as DAB. Under these conditions, DAB synthesis was achieved in $60 \%$ yield. This may be due to the removal of solvent, which induced precipitation of the DAB product, driving the reaction toward the products.


Figure 64. Synthesis of DAB from 18 and OPD.


Figure 65. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the product of the reaction in Figure 64.

In order to examine the reaction without any external manipulation, the reaction was studied in benzene- $d_{6}$ in an NMR tube. The reaction was also repeated in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ in order to understand the impact of solvent on the reaction (Figure 66).


Figure 66. Reaction of $\mathbf{1 8}$ and OPD in different solvents.
The reaction progress was monitored by integrating the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals associated with the protons ortho and meta to nitrogen of OPD (benzene- $d_{6}$ : $\delta=6.70$ and 6.39 ppm ; $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta=6.72 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and $\mathbf{D A B}$ (benzene- $d_{6}: \delta=7.02$ and $6.83 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta=7.12$ and 6.95 ppm ) (Figure 67). After 10 days, the reaction reached $35 \%$ conversion in benzene, which is similar to the same reaction in chloroform, where $31 \%$ conversion was observed. These results are different from the former reaction using Letsinger's method where $60 \%$ conversion was observed and the equilibration time was significantly shorter. This confirms that the formation of the DAB product was promoted by precipitation, which was caused by the removal of solvent under reduced pressure.


In benzene- $d_{6}$ after 10 days


Figure 67. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the reaction in Figure 66 after 10 days in benzene$d_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

### 3.4 Conclusions

The increased solubility of intermediates $\mathbf{8 - 1 0}$ in common organic solvents revealed that incorporating an ethylhexyl ester into the monomer improves solubility in chloroform. Synthesis of the ethylhexyl ester substituted monomer TA-DEH was achieved in multiple steps from benzoic acid 5. The synthesized monomer TA-DEH was more soluble in chloroform than previously studied monomers TA-DM and TA-DTg by a factor of 10 in
terms of molality. This result confirms the presence of branched 2-ethylhexyl ester in monomer TA-DEH has a positive effect on solubility.

The formation of macrocycle DBM-TEH from the condensation reaction of monomer TA-DEH with BDBA was observed in toluene as well as DMSO. Nevertheless, neither the macrocycle nor oligomeric intermediates were soluble in chloroform. Interchain interaction of the branched alkyl is not efficient enough to cause large pistacking distance among the aromatic cores of these diazaborole-based macrocycles.

The reactions between BDBA-based esters with various di- and tetraamines in chloroform at room temperature was slow. The reaction between simple OPD with $t$-butyl BDBA-based ester 15 gave the corresponding intermediate in $22 \%$ after 9 days, while the tartrate ester moiety 19 gave similar result, reaching $28 \%$ conversion after 11 days. The reactions between monomer TA-DEH with the same BDBA-based esters under similar conditions did not favor the DBM-TEH product. No significant progress was observed for up to 2 weeks. On the other hand, DAB synthesis between an ethyl tartrate boronate ester 14 and OPD was achieved in $60 \%$ conversion following Letsinger and Hamilton's method. While the same reaction only reached $35 \%$ conversion in benzene and $28 \%$ conversion in chloroform for 10 days at room temperature. The results indicate that the simple DAB product can be achieved by the removal of solvent to induce precipitation. In the case of diazaborole-linked macrocycles, intramolecular reactions to cyclize could not compete with the stability of the BDBA-based esters.

### 3.5 Experimental

All air or moisture sensitive reactions were performed using standard Schlenk techniques under argon atmosphere. Glassware was oven dried before use to achieve the same goal.

Thin layer chromatography (TLC) analyses were performed on silica gel coated with fluorescent indicator F254 aluminum TLC plates. Visualization of TLC plates was performed under a UV light ( 254 nm ) to monitor the consumption of the starting materials and formation of the products. Purification of the synthesized molecules were achieved using silica gel ( $60 \AA$ ) column chromatography.

All starting materials and reagents were purchased from commercial sources (Acros organic, Alfa Aesar, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, EMD Millipore, Frontier Scientific, J. T. Baker, Oxchem, Sigma Aldrich, T.C.I., V.W.R) and used without further purification, unless otherwise mentioned. The NMR solvents, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, were stored over activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves. $3 \AA$ molecular sieves were activated by heating at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum for 3 hours. Liquid reagents used for air or moisture sensitive reactions were stored under argon.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were collected using JEOL Eclipse 300+ spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ relative to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 7.26\right.\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 77.23$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) or (DMSO- $d_{6}: \delta 2.50$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, DMSO- $d_{6}: \delta 39.52$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) \}. The splitting patterns are designated as s (singlet); d (doublet); t (triplet); q (quartet); dd (doublet of doublets); td (triplet of doublets); m (multiplet); br. s (broaden singlet); ss (slightly broaden singlet).

MALDI-MS analysis was carried out by Michael Raulerson at Texas A\&M University using the Macroflex with the following parameters: laser beam attenuation (57.778), laser repetition rate ( 60 Hz ), number of shots (400), positive voltage polarity (POS), ion source voltage $1(19 \mathrm{kV})$, ion source voltage $2(15.65 \mathrm{kV})$, linear detector voltage ( 2.799 kV ), reflector voltage $1(20 \mathrm{kV})$, reflector detector voltage ( 1.845 kV ).

Synthesis of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-nitrobenzoate (6). Commercially available 4-amino-3-nitrobenzoic acid (5, $10.6 \mathrm{~g}, 58.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved in 2ethylhexanol ( $100 \mathrm{~mL}, 640 \mathrm{mmol}, 11$ equiv) in a 250 mL round bottom flask. Concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was introduced dropwise to the solution with constant mixing. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 h using a Vigreux column. The reaction flask was then moved to a distillation apparatus to remove excess 2-ethylhexanol. The resulting dark yellow to brown oil was purified using silica gel column chromatography starting with EtOAc:hexanes (1:9 v/v) and increasing the polarity up to EtOAc:hexanes (1:4 v/v). A yellow solid (14.2 g, 83\% yield) was obtained. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.96(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44$ (br. s, 2H), $4.22(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 165.2,147.4,135.9,131.6,129.0$, $119.5,118.6,67.7,39.0,30.6,29.1,24.0,23.1,14.1,11.1$.

Synthesis of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-bromo-5-nitrobenzoate (7). Liquid bromine ( $2.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added dropwise to a methylene chloride solution ( 200 mL ) of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-nitrobenzoate ( $\mathbf{6}, 11.8 \mathrm{~g}, 40.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The product was washed with sodium thiosulfate ( 54 mL ), saturated sodium bicarbonate ( 35 mL ), deionized water ( 50 mL ), and brine ( 50 mL ). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium
sulfate and concentrated to a yellow oil. Diethyl ether ( 40 mL ) was used to dissolve the oil, which was transferred to a separatory funnel ( 250 mL ) and washed with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (saturated $30 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{x} 1,25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{x}$ ), and brine ( 20 mL ). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated to a yellow oil. The product was purified using silica gel column chromatography with EtOAc:hexanes (1:9, v/v) as the eluent. The solvent was removed to give a yellow solid (12.0 g, 80\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 301 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-$ $1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 164.2,144.8,138.9,132.0$, $128.1,119.1,111.8,68.1,38.9,30.6,29.1,24.0,23.0,14.1,11.1$.

## Synthesis of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)-5-nitrobenzoate

 (8).A) In an Ar atmosphere, 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-bromo-5-nitrobenzoate (7, 8.64 g, 23.1 mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.741 \mathrm{~g}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), and $\mathrm{CuI}(0.414 \mathrm{~g}, 2.17$ mmol, 0.09 equiv) were added to an oven dried sealed-tube. The materials were dissolved by adding $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF ( 100 mL ). Trimethylsilylacetylene (TMSA, $4.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 31$ mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added slowly for 30 min at room temperature under Ar. Then, the mixture was stirred at $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . TLC analysis confirmed the consumption of starting materials. The reaction mixture was then diluted with EtOAc ( 100 mL ) and passed through a silica plug. The EtOAc was removed and the collected organic compound was then purified using silica gel column chromatography with EtOAc:hexanes (1:20, v/v) as the eluent. The product was concentrated into a yellow oil ( $3.51 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (301 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.5(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.46-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 164.7$,
$147.8,138.8,131.5,128.9,118.4,112.3,104.4,98.0,67.9,38.9,30.6,29.1,24.0,23.1$, 14.1, 11.1, 0.9.
B) In an Ar atmosphere, 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-bromo-5-nitrobenzoate (7,5.0 g, 13.4 mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( $0.353 \mathrm{~g}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.471 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.05 equiv), and $\mathrm{CuI}(0.129 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv) were added to an oven-dried sealed tube. The materials were dissolved by adding $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF ( 50 mL ). Trimethylsilylacetylene (TMSA, $3 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.6 equiv) was added slowly for 30 min at room temperature under Ar. Then, the mixture was stirred at $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{TLC}$ confirmed the consumption of starting materials. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (100 mL) and passed through a silica plug. The collected organic compound was distilled under vacuum, and then purified using silica gel column chromatography with EtOAc:hexanes ( $1: 9 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as the eluent. The product was concentrated into a yellow oil ( $4.29 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.5$ (br. s, $2 H), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 164.7,147.8,138.8,131.5,128.9,118.4,112.3,104.4,98.0$, $67.9,38.9,30.6,29.1,24.0,23.1,14.1,11.1,0.9$.

Synthesis of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-ethynyl-5-nitrobenzoate (9). Tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF, $8.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 48.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{8}(2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 6.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in wet THF ( 50 mL ). After 30 s of stirring, the reaction mixture was filtered through a silica plug using EtOAc:hexanes ( $1: 9 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as the diluent. The collected eluate was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography using EtOAc:hexanes (1:20 v/v) as the eluent to give a yellow solid (1.37 $\mathrm{g}, 68 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59$
$(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 164.5,148.0,139.3,131.6,129.4,118.5,111.0,86.0,67.9,38.9,30.6,29.1,24.0$, 23.1, 14.1, 11.1.

Synthesis of 3,3'-(ethyne-1,2-diyl)bis((2-ethylhexyl 5-nitro-4-aminobenzoate)
(10). In an Ar atmosphere, 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-bromo-5-nitrobenzoate (7, 0.560 g , $1.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), the deprotected alkyne $\left(\mathbf{9}, 0.694 \mathrm{~g}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( $0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), and $\mathrm{CuI}(0.0168 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv) were added to a oven dried sealed-tube ( 50 mL ). $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(9.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 64.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 37$ equiv) was added to dissolve all of the reagents. The reaction mixture was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . TLC analysis confirmed the consumption of starting materials 7 and 9 . The reaction mixture was then cooled, diluted with EtOAc ( 50 mL ), and passed through a silica plug. The solvent was removed to reveal the crude product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed the presence of impurities. The orange product was again suspended in EtOAc:hexanes ( $1: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), vacuum filtered and washed with diethyl ether. After solvent was removed, the product obtained was a bright yellow solid ( $0.756 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.86(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-$ $0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 164.4,147.5,139.2,131.9,129.7,118.9$, $110.8,90.6,68.1,38.9,30.6,29.1,24.0,23.1,14.1,11.1$.

## Synthesis of 3,3'-(ethyne-1,2-diyl)bis(2-ethylhexyl 4,5-diaminobenzoate) (TA-

DEH). In a 100 mL round bottom flask, compound $\mathbf{1 0}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was combined with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3.69 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv) and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was washed with a mixture of NaOH ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and water ( 15 mL ). It was then passed through a vacuum filter to remove
the white precipitate. EtOAc ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ) was used to wash the precipitate cake. The washings were combined with the organic and aqueous filtrates into a separatory funnel. After draining the aqueous layer, the organic layer was washed with water ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), brine ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} x 2$ ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed to reveal a dark brown solid. The collected organic compound was purified by silica gel column chromatography using EtOAc:hexanes ( $2: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as the eluent. Solvent was then removed to give a yellow solid $(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.68$ (s, 2H), $7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 166.6,142.0,132.7,126.2$, $120.7,118.4,107.8,90.5,67.2,39.0,30.6,29.1,24.0,23.1,14.2,11.2$.

Solubility comparison of monomers TA-DEH, TA-DM, and TA-DTg. Into each small test tube, TA-DEH ( $2.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0042 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), TA-DM ( $1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0041$ mmol, 1 equiv), and TA-DTg ( $2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0042 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added. Chloroform was introduced dropwise. The weight of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ drops it took to completely dissolve each material was recorded.

| Monomer | Amount of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ used <br> $(\mathrm{mg})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| TA-DEH | 48.5 |
| TA-DM | 504.7 |
| TA-DTg | 1289.5 |

Synthesis of macrocycle (DBM-DEH) in toluene. In a 65 mL round bottom flask, monomer TA-DEH ( $83.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and BDBA $(24.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were dissolved in ethanol ( 5 mL ) for 5 minutes. After toluene ( 25 mL ) was added, the reaction was allowed to reflux for 5 days. The reaction mixture was then concentrated and cooled to room temperature. A light-coral precipitate was filtered and washed with
cold toluene ( 2 mL ) and cold hexane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was vacuum dried to obtain a light-coral solid ( 84.0 mg ). The following peaks correspond to the macrocycle product; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (301 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 9.80(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-} d_{6}\right) \delta 166.5,142.1,138.2,134.3,125.4,121.3,112.1,104.5,90.2$, $66.9,38.3,30.7,29.0,24.1,23.0,14.5,11.5$.

Reaction of monomer TA-DEH and BDBA in DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$. In an NMR tube, monomer TA-DEH ( $6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and BDBA ( $1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were dissolved in DMSO- $d_{6}$. The reaction progress was monitored over time using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The following peaks correspond to the macrocycle product; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (301 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 9.80(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.21(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 2,2'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(1,3,2-benzodioxaborole) (13). BDBA ( $101.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.611 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was combined with catechol ( $\mathbf{1 2}, 134.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.222 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) and methanol ( 5 mL ) in a 100 mL round bottom flask. After the starting materials were mixed well to dissolve, toluene ( 50 mL ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to reflux for 90 min with a Dean-Stark trap. Then, the solution was concentrated and cooled to room temperature to induce precipitation. Vacuum filtration was performed to obtain a white solid ( $181.0 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$, (dd, 4H), $7.14(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 301 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.70,(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis could not be obtained in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ due to the low solubility of the product. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 152.0,131.1,119.2,109.7$.

Synthesis of a $\boldsymbol{t}$-butyl ester of BDBA 15. BDBA ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was combined with tert-butyl catechol ( $\mathbf{1 4}, 190.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.147 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a 100 mL round bottom flask. After the starting materials were mixed well to dissolve, toluene ( 50 mL ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves (about 10) were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to reflux for 90 min with a Dean-Stark trap. Then, the solution was concentrated and cooled to room temperature to induce precipitation. Vacuum filtration was performed to obtain a white solid ( $219.8 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ) $\delta 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.4,146.8,146.2,134.5,119.7,111.7,110.1,35.0,31.8$.

Reaction of BDBA-based ester 15 and OPD. In an NMR tube, compound 15 (6.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and OPD ( $3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at room temperature.

Reaction of BDBA-based ester 15 and monomer TA-DEH. In an NMR tube, compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ ( $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0094 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and monomer TA-DEH ( $5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0094$ mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The reaction progress was monitored using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at room temperature.

Synthesis of an ethyl tartrate ester of BDBA (19). BDBA (321.7 mg, 1.941 mmol, 1 equiv) was combined with ( $2 R, 3 R$ )-diethyl tartrate ( $\mathbf{2 0}, 800.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.882 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and ethanol ( 5 mL ) in a 100 mL round bottom flask. After the starting materials were mixed well to dissolve, toluene ( 50 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to reflux for 90 min with a Dean-Stark trap. Then, the solution was concentrated and cooled to room temperature before leaving in a freezer $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 6 h . The crystals formed were isolated by vacuum filtration and washed with cold toluene and cold hexane
to give a white solid ( $973.8 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{q}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{t}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.4,134.6$, 78.1, 62.4, 14.2.

Reaction of BDBA-based ester 19 and monomer TA-DEH. In an NMR tube, compound 19 ( $2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0058 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and monomer TA-DEH ( $3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0058$ mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The reaction progress was monitored over time using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at room temperature.

Reaction of BDBA-based ester 19 and OPD. In an NMR tube, compound 16 (4.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.0093 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and $\mathbf{O P D}(2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The reaction progress was monitored over time using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at room temperature.

Synthesis of diethyl 2-phenyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane-4,5-dicarboxylate (18). In a 100 mL round bottom flask, a mixture of PBA $(460.1 \mathrm{mg}, 3.773 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and $(2 R, 3 R)$-diethyl tartrate ( $\mathbf{1 5}, 778.0 \mathrm{mg}, 3.773 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated under reflux for 6 h using a Dean Stark apparatus. Then, the reaction flask was cooled to room temperature and the solvent was removed to reveal a white solid ( $1.089 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31$ (q, 4H), $1.32(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.6,135.4,132.3,128.0,78.1,62.3$, 14.2.

Synthesis of DAB from boronate ester 18 and OPD. In a 20 mL glass vial, OPD ( $111.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.030 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in benzene ( 4 mL ) was mixed with a solution of boronate ester $\mathbf{1 8}$ ( $300.9 \mathrm{mg}, 1.030 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in benzene ( 4 mL ). After 1 h of mixing at room temperature, benzene was removed under vacuum without raising the temperature until the
volume was reduced by half. The resulting crystals were filtered and washed with benzene. The process of concentration, filtration and washing was repeated five times. The obtained product was a white solid. The total product formed was 120.4 mg ( $60 \%$ yield). It was identified as DAB using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $301 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.77-7.69 (m, 2H), 7.52$7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 136.4,133.1,129.9,128.3,119.5,111.3$. All washings and filtrates were combined and the solvent was removed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the filtrate revealed a mixture of the diethyl tartrate $\mathbf{1 5}$ byproduct and the leftover DAB product.

## Reaction of boronate ester 18 and OPD in benzene- $d_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

A) In an NMR tube, compound $\mathbf{1 8}(10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0037 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv $)$ and $\mathbf{O P D}$ ( 4.0 mg , 0.037 mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in benzene- $d_{6}$. The reaction progress was monitored over time using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.
B) In an NMR tube, compound $\mathbf{1 8}(10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0037 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv $)$ and $\mathbf{O P D}(4.0 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.037 mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The reaction progress was monitored over time using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

## REFERENCES

(1) Zhang, W.; Moore, J. S. Shape-Persistent Macrocycles: Structures and Synthetic Approaches from Arylene and Ethynylene Building Blocks. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4416-4439.
(2) Iritani, K.; Ikeda, M.; Yang, A.; Tahara, K.; Hirose, K.; Moore, J.; Tobe, Y. Hexagonal Molecular Tiling by Hexagonal Macrocycles at the Liquid/Solid Interface: Structural Effects on Packing Geometry. Langmuir 2017, 33, 1245312462.
(3) Gong, B.; Shao, Z. Self-Assembling Organic Nanotubes with Precisely Defined, Sub-nanometer Pores: Formation and Mass Transport Characteristics. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 2856-2866.
(4) Zhong, Y.; Yang, Y.; Shen, Y.; Xu, W.; Wang, Q.; Connor, A.; Zhou, X.; He, L.; Zeng, X.; Shao, Z.; Lu, Z.; Gong, B. Enforced Tubular Assembly of Electronically Different Hexakis(m-Phenylene Ethynylene) Macrocycles: Persistent Columnar Stacking Driven by Multiple Hydrogen Bonding Interactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 15950-15957.
(5) Staab, H. A.; Neunhoeffer, K. [2.2.2.2.2.2] Metacyclophane-1,9,17, 25,33,41hexayne from m-Iodophenylacetylene by Sixfold Stephens-Castro Coupling. Synth. 1974, 424.
(6) Zhao, D.; Moore, J. S. Shape-Persistent Arylene Ethynylene Macrocycles: Syntheses and Supramolecular Chemistry. Chem. Commun. 2003, 807-818.
(7) Goldberg, A. R.; Northrop, B. H. Spectroscopic and Computational Investigations of the Thermodynamics of Boronate Ester and Diazaborole Self-Assembly. J. Org.

Chem. 2016, 81, 969-980.
(8) Rowan, S. J.; Cantrill, S. J.; Cousins, G. R. L.; Sanders, J. K. M.; Stoddart, J. F. Dynamic Covalent Chemistry. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2002, 41, 898-952.
(9) Jin, Y.; Yu, C.; Denman, R. J.; Zhang, W. Recent Advances in Dynamic Covalent Chemistry. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 6634-6654.
(10) Zhang, W.; Moore, J. S. Arylene Ethynylene Macrocycles Prepared by Precipitation-Driven Alkyne Metathesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12796.
(11) Chavez, A. D.; Evans, A. M.; Flanders, N. C.; Bisbey, R. P.; Vitaku, E.; Chen, L. X.; Dichtel, W. R. Equilibration of Imine-Linked Polymers to Hexagonal Macrocycles Driven by Self-Assembly. Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 3989-3993.
(12) Brooks, W. L. A.; Sumerlin, B. S. Synthesis and Applications of Boronic AcidContaining Polymers: From Materials to Medicine. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 13751397.
(13) El-Kaderi, H. M.; Hunt, J. R.; Mendoza-Cortés, J. L.; Côté, A. P.; Taylor, R. E.; O’Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. Designed Synthesis of 3D Covalent Organic Frameworks. Science 2007, 316, 268-272.
(14) Kaur, P.; Hupp, J. T.; Nguyen, S. T. Porous Organic Polymers in Catalysis: Opportunities and Challenges. ACS Catal. 2011, 1, 819-835.
(15) Nishiyabu, R.; Kubo, Y.; James, T. D.; Fossey, J. S. Boronic Acid Building Blocks: Tools for Sensing and Separation. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 1106-1123.
(16) Côté, A. P.; Benin, A. I.; Ockwig, N. W.; O’Keeffe, M.; Matzger, A. J.; Yaghi, O. M. Porous, Crystalline, Covalent Organic Frameworks. Science 2005, 310, 11661170.
(17) Smith, M. K.; Powers-Riggs, N. E.; Northrop, B. H. Discrete, soluble covalent organic boronate ester rectangles. Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 6167-6169.
(18) Klotzbach, S.; Scherpf, T.; Beuerle, F. Dynamic covalent assembly of tribenzotriquinacenes into molecular cubes. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 1245412457.
(19) Entwistle, C. D.; Marder, T. B. Applications of Three-Coordinate Organoboron Compounds and Polymers in Optoelectronics. Chem. Mater. 2004, 16, 4574-4585.
(20) Liu, Z.; Shi, M.; Li, F.; Fang, Q.; Chen, Z.; Yi, T.; Huang, C. Highly Selective Two-Photon Chemosensors for Fluoride Derived from Organic Boranes. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5481-5484.
(21) Ulrich, G.; Ziessel, R.; Harriman, A. The Chemistry of Fluorescent Bodipy Dyes: Versatility Unsurpassed. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1184-1201.
(22) Kahveci, Z.; Sekizkardes, A. K.; Arvapally, R. K.; Wilder, L.; El-Kaderi, H. M. Highly Porous Photoluminescent Diazaborole-Linked Polymers: Synthesis, Characterization, and Application to Selective Gas Adsorption. Polym. Chem. 2017, 8, 2509-2515.
(23) Weber, L.; Stammler, H. G.; Neumann, B. Synthetic, Structural, and Electrochemical Studies of 2-ferrocenyl- and 2-cymantrenyl-functionalized 2,3-dihydro-1H-1,3,2-diazaboroles and 1,3,2-diazaborolidenes. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 4715-4722.
(24) Letsinger, R. L.; Hamilton, S. B. Organoboron Compounds. VIII. Dihydrobenzoboradiazoles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 5411-5413.
(25) Mulvaney, J. E.; Bloomfield, J. J.; Marvel, C. S. Polybenzborimidazolines. J.

Polym. Sci. 1962, 62, 59-72.
(26) Kojima, T.; Kumaki, D.; Nishida, J.; Tokito, S.; Yamashita, Y. Organic field-effect transistors based on novel organic semiconductors containing diazaboroles. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 6607-6613.
(27) Lokugama, S. Synthesis of Diazaborole Linked Oligomers using Aryl Boronic Acid Derivatives. M.S. Thesis, Sam Houston State University, 2015.
(28) Manankandayalage, C. Synthesis of Diazaborole Based Macrocycles with Pendent Triethylene Glycol (Tg) Groups. M.S. Thesis, Sam Houston State University, 2016.
(29) Maruyama, S.; Kawanishi, Y. Syntheses and Emission Properties of Novel VioletBlue Emissive Aromatic bis(diazaborole)s. J. Mater. Chem. 2002, 12, 2245-2249.
(30) Yamaguchi, I.; Choi, B.; Koizumi, T.; Kubota, K.; Yamamoto, T. $\pi$-Conjugated Polyphenylenes with Diazaborole Side Chains Synthesized via 1,2Phenylenediamine Polymer. Macromolecules 2007, 40, 438-443.
(31) Hawkins, R. T.; Lennarz, W. J.; Snyder, H. R. Arylboronic acids. V. Methylsubstituted boronic acids, borinic acids, and triarylborons. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 3053-3059.
(32) Slabber, C. A.; Grimmer, C. D.; Robinson, R. S. Solution-state 15N NMR and solid-state single-crystal XRD study of heterosubstituted diazaboroles and borinines prepared via an effective and simple microwave-assisted solvent-free synthesis. J. Organomet. Chem. 2013, 723, 122-128.
(33) Son, J. H.; Jang, G.; Lee, T. S. Synthesis of water-soluble, fluorescent, conjugated polybenzodiazaborole for detection of cyanide anion in water. Polym. 2013, 54,

3542-3547.
(34) Abeysinghe, J. Diazaboroles: Experimental Investigations of their Dynamic Covalent Nature and Computational Chemistry. M.S. Thesis, Sam Houston State University, 2017.
(35) Goldberg, A. R.; Northrop, B. H. Spectroscopic and Computational Investigations of The Thermodynamics of Boronate Ester and Diazaborole Self-Assembly. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 969-980.
(36) Pelletier, M. J. Quantitative Analysis Using Raman Spectrometry. Appl. Spectrosc. 2003, 57, 20A-42A.
(37) Rambo, B. M.; Lavigne, J. J. Defining Self-Assembling Linear

Oligo(dioxaborole)s. Chem. Mater. 2007, 19, 3732-3739.
(38) Unpublished data obtained by Angela Caffey.
(39) Roy, C.; Brown, H. A Comparative Study of the Relative Stability of Representative Chiral and Achiral Boronic Esters Employing Transesterification. Monatsh. Chem. 2007, 138, 879-887.

## APPENDIX - NMR spectra for the synthesized compounds


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-nitrobenzoate (6) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-nitrobenzoate (6) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-bromo-5-nitrobenzoate (7) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-bromo-5-nitrobenzoate (7) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)-5-nitrobenzoate (8) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)-5-nitrobenzoate
(8) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-ethynyl-5-nitrobenzoate (9) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 2-ethylhexyl 4-amino-3-ethynyl-5-nitrobenzoate (9) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of 3,3'-(ethyne-1,2-diyl)bis(2-ethylhexyl 5-nitro-4-aminobenzoate) (10) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of 3,3'-(ethyne-1,2-diyl)bis(2-ethylhexyl 5-nitro-4-aminobenzoate)
(10) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3,3 '-(ethyne-1,2-diyl)bis(2-ethylhexyl 4,5-diaminobenzoate) (TADEH) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of 3,3'-(ethyne-1,2-diyl)bis(2-ethylhexyl 4,5-diaminobenzoate) (TADEH) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the product mixture from Figure 42 in DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the product mixture from Figure 42 in DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $2,2^{\prime}$-(1,4-phenylene) bis(1,3,2-benzodioxaborole) (13) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 2,2'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(1,3,2-benzodioxaborole) (13) in DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of 2,2'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(1,3,2-benzodioxaborole) (13) in DMSO- $d_{6}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the $t$-butyl BDBA-based ester $\mathbf{1 5}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the $t$-butyl BDBA-based ester $\mathbf{1 5}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the ethyl tartrate ester of BDBA 19 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the ethyl tartrate ester of BDBA 19 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the ethyl tartrate ester of phenylboronic acid (18) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the tartrate ester of phenyl boronic acid $\mathbf{1 8}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$
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